



ELSEVIER

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 180 (2005) 293–309

JOURNAL OF
COMPUTATIONAL AND
APPLIED MATHEMATICSwww.elsevier.com/locate/cam

Existence and global attractivity of positive periodic solutions of functional differential equations with feedback control

Wan-Tong Li^{a,*}, Lin-Lin Wang^b^a*Department of Mathematics, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou Gansu, 730000, People's Republic of China*^b*Department of Applied Mathematics, Tianjing University, Tianjing, 300072, People's Republic of China*

Received 24 June 2004

Abstract

Sufficient conditions are obtained for the existence and global attractivity of positive periodic solutions of the delay differential system with feedback control

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dx}{dt} &= -b(t)x(t) + F(t, x(t - \tau_1(t)), \dots, x(t - \tau_n(t)), u(t - \delta(t))), \\ \frac{du}{dt} &= -\eta(t)u(t) + a(t)x(t - \sigma(t)).\end{aligned}$$

The method involves the application of Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem and estimates of uniform upper and lower bounds of solutions. When these results are applied to some special delay population models with multiple delays, some new results are obtained and some known results are generalized.

© 2004 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

MSC: 34K15; 92D25; 34C25

Keywords: Delay differential equations; Feedback control; Positive periodic solutions; Global attractivity

* Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +86 931 8913733.

E-mail address: wtli@lzu.edu.cn (W.-T. Li).

¹ Research was supported by the NNSF of China (10171040), the NSF of Gansu Province (ZS011-A25-007-Z) and the Teaching and Research Award Program for Outstanding Young Teachers in Higher Education Institutions by the Ministry of Education of China.

1. Introduction

If the environment is not temporally constant (e.g., seasonal effects of weather, food supplies, mating habits, etc.), then the parameters become time dependent. It has been suggested by Nicholson [24] that any periodic change of climate tends to impose its period upon oscillations of internal origin or to cause such oscillations to have a harmonic relation to periodic climatic changes. Pianka [26] discussed the relevance of periodic environment to evolutionary theory.

Nisbet and Gurney [25] considered a periodic delay logistic equation and carried out a numerical study of the influence of the periodicity in the environment on the intrinsic oscillations of the equation such as those caused by the time delay. Rosen [28] noted the existence of a relation between the period of the periodic carrying capacity and the delay of the logistic equation. Zhang and Gopalsamy [38] assumed that the intrinsic growth rate and the carrying capacity are periodic functions of a period ω and that the delay is an integer multiple of the period of the environment. Following the techniques of Zhang and Gopalsamy [38], people have studied some well-known delay models with periodic coefficients and delay, such as the periodic Nicholson's blowflies model [30], the periodic Allee effect model [18,36], the periodic food-limited model [5,6], the periodic Wazewska-Czyzewska and Lasota model [9,10,27,34], etc. In all these papers, the delays are assumed to be integral multiples of periods of the environment.

Ecosystems in the real world are continuously distributed by unpredictable forces which can result in changes in the biological parameters such as survival rates. Of practical interest in ecology is the question of whether or not an ecosystem can withstand those unpredictable disturbances which persist for a finite period of time. In the language of control variables, we call the disturbance functions as control variables. The control variables discussed in most literatures are constants or time dependent (see [19,20]). In 1993, Gopalsamy and Weng [7] introduced a feedback control variable into the delayed logistic model and discussed the asymptotic behavior of solutions in logistic models with feedback controls, in which the control variables satisfy certain differential equation. We also refer to Lalli et al. [17], Liao [22], Tang and Zou [35], Yang and Jiang [37] for further study on delay equations with feedback control.

Recently, Huo and Li [12] considered the following general nonlinear nonautonomous delay differential system with feedback control

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dx}{dt} &= F(t, x(t - \tau_1(t)), \dots, x(t - \tau_n(t)), u(t - \delta(t))), \\ \frac{du}{dt} &= -\eta(t)u(t) + a(t)x(t - \sigma(t)),\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

which contains many bio-mathematical models of delay differential equations, such as logistic model with several delays and feedback control

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dx(t)}{dt} &= x(t) \left[r(t) - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(t)x(t - \tau_i(t)) - c(t)u(t - \delta(t)) \right], \\ \frac{du(t)}{dt} &= -\eta(t)u(t) + a(t)x(t - \sigma(t)),\end{aligned}\tag{1.2}$$

the multiplicative delay logistic model with feedback control

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dx(t)}{dt} &= r(t)x(t) \left[1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{x(t - \tau_i(t))}{K(t)} - c(t)u(t - \delta(t)) \right], \\ \frac{du(t)}{dt} &= -\eta(t)u(t) + a(t)x(t - \sigma(t)),\end{aligned}\quad (1.3)$$

the so-called Michaelis–Menton single-species growth model with feedback control

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dx(t)}{dt} &= r(t)x(t) \left[1 - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i(t)x(t - \tau_i(t))}{1 + c_i(t)x(t - \tau_i(t))} - c(t)u(t - \delta(t)) \right], \\ \frac{du(t)}{dt} &= -\eta(t)u(t) + a(t)x(t - \sigma(t)),\end{aligned}\quad (1.4)$$

The coincidence degree theory [3] has been used to establish the existence of periodic solutions in (1.1). Stability of periodic solutions of (1.1) was also obtained when the delays are constants.

However, Eq. (1.1) does not contain the periodic delay Hematopoiesis model [23,29,31]

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dx(t)}{dt} &= -b(t)x(t) + \frac{\beta(t)}{1 + [x(t - \tau(t))]^m} + c(t)u(t - \delta(t)), \\ \frac{du(t)}{dt} &= -\eta(t)u(t) + a(t)x(t - \sigma(t)),\end{aligned}\quad (1.5)$$

the periodic survival red blood cells model [32,33]

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dx(t)}{dt} &= -b(t)x(t) + \beta(t) \exp(-x(t - \tau(t))) + c(t)u(t - \delta(t)), \\ \frac{du(t)}{dt} &= -\eta(t)u(t) + a(t)x(t - \sigma(t))\end{aligned}\quad (1.6)$$

and the delay nonlinear equation [13]

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}(t) &= a(t)x(t) + \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} C(s)g(t, x(t - \tau_0(t)), x(t - \tau_1(t)), x(t + s)) ds + c(t)u(t - \delta(t)), \\ u'(t) &= -\eta(t)u(t) + b(t)x(t - \delta(t)).\end{aligned}\quad (1.7)$$

Motivated by the above question, in this paper, we consider the following general nonlinear nonautonomous delay differential system with feedback control

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dx}{dt} &= -b(t)x(t) + F(t, x(t - \tau_1(t)), \dots, x(t - \tau_n(t)), u(t - \delta(t))), \\ \frac{du}{dt} &= -\eta(t)u(t) + a(t)x(t - \sigma(t))\end{aligned}\quad (1.8)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dx}{dt} &= b(t)x(t) - F(t, x(t - \tau_1(t)), \dots, x(t - \tau_n(t)), u(t - \delta(t))), \\ \frac{du}{dt} &= -\eta(t)u(t) + a(t)x(t - \sigma(t)),\end{aligned}\quad (1.9)$$

where $F(t, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n, z_{n+1}) \in C(R^{n+2}, [0, \infty))$, $\tau_i(t)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$), $\delta(t)$, $\sigma(t) \in C(R, R)$, $\eta(t)$, $a(t)$, $b(t) \in C(R, (0, \infty))$, all functions are ω -periodic in t and $\omega > 0$ is a constant. By employing the Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem and constructing some suitable Liapunov functionals, we obtain sufficient conditions of the existence and global attractivity of periodic solutions for system (1.8). Then we apply the obtained results to some delayed population models with multiple delays and feedback control, such as the periodic logistic equation with several delays [4,8,11,15] and the periodic delay Hematopoiesis model [23,29,31]. Some new results are obtained and some known results are generalized.

2. Main results

In this section, we establish the existence and global attractivity of positive periodic solutions of Eq. (1.8) by applying Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem (see [2,14]) on cones. A compact operator will be constructed. It will be shown that the operator has a fixed point, which corresponds to a periodic solution of (1.8).

Definition 2.1. Let X be a Banach space and K be a closed, nonempty subset of X . K is a cone if

- (i) $\alpha u + \beta v \in K$ for all $u, v \in K$ and all $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ and
- (ii) $u, -u \in K$ imply $u = 0$.

Lemma 2.1 (Deimling [2] and Krasnoselskii [14]). Let X be a Banach space, and let $K \subset X$ be a cone in X . Assume that Ω_1, Ω_2 are open subsets of X with $0 \in \Omega_1$, $\bar{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_2$, and let

$$\Phi: K \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1) \rightarrow K$$

be a completely continuous operator such that either

- (i) $\|\Phi y\| \leq \|y\| \quad \forall y \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$ and $\|\Phi y\| \geq \|y\| \quad \forall y \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$; or
- (ii) $\|\Phi y\| \geq \|y\| \quad \forall y \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$ and $\|\Phi y\| \leq \|y\| \quad \forall y \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$.

Then Φ has a fixed point in $K \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$.

Now we state our fundamental theorem about the existence of positive ω -periodic solutions of system (1.8).

Theorem 2.1. Suppose that

$$\lim_{|v| \rightarrow 0} \max_{t \in [0, \omega]} \frac{F(t, v)}{|v|} = 0, \quad \lim_{|v| \rightarrow \infty, v_j \geq \sigma|v|, 1 \leq j \leq n+1} \min_{t \in [0, \omega]} \frac{F(t, v)}{|v|} = \infty \quad (2.1)$$

or

$$\lim_{|v| \rightarrow 0, v_j \geq \sigma|v|, 1 \leq j \leq n+1} \min_{t \in [0, \omega]} \frac{F(t, v)}{|v|} = \infty, \quad \lim_{|v| \rightarrow \infty} \max_{t \in [0, \omega]} \frac{F(t, v)}{|v|} = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\sigma := \exp \left(-2 \int_0^\omega b(s) \, ds \right),$$

$v = (v_1, \dots, v_{n+1}) \in [0, \infty)^{n+1}$ and $|v| = \max\{v_1, \dots, v_{n+1}\}$. Then system (1.8) has at least one positive ω -periodic solution.

Proof. Since each ω -periodic solution of the equation

$$\frac{du(t)}{dt} = -\eta(t)u(t) + a(t)x(t - \sigma(t))$$

is equivalent to that of the equation

$$u(t) = \int_t^{t+\omega} g(t, s)a(s)x(s - \sigma(s)) ds := (\Phi x)(t) \quad (2.3)$$

and vice versa, where

$$g(t, s) = \frac{\exp(\int_t^s \eta(r) dr)}{\exp(\int_0^\omega \eta(r) dr) - 1}.$$

It is easy to see that $u(t + \omega) = u(t)$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} u(t + \omega) &= \int_{t+\omega}^{t+2\omega} g(t + \omega, s)a(s)x(s - \sigma(s)) ds \\ &= \int_t^{t+\omega} g(t + \omega, \zeta + \omega)a(\zeta + \omega)x(\zeta + \omega - \sigma(\zeta + \omega)) d\zeta \\ &= \int_t^{t+\omega} g(t + \omega, \zeta + \omega)a(\zeta)x(\zeta - \sigma(\zeta)) d\zeta, \end{aligned}$$

but

$$\begin{aligned} g(t + \omega, \zeta + \omega) &= \frac{\exp(\int_{t+\omega}^{\zeta+\omega} \eta(r) dr)}{\exp(\int_0^\omega \eta(r) dr) - 1} = \frac{\exp(\int_t^\zeta \eta(\zeta + \omega) d\zeta)}{\exp(\int_0^\omega \eta(r) dr) - 1} \\ &= \frac{\exp(\int_t^\zeta \eta(\zeta) d\zeta)}{\exp(\int_0^\omega \eta(r) dr) - 1} = g(t, \zeta). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} u(t + \omega) &= \int_t^{t+\omega} g(t + \omega, \zeta + \omega)a(\zeta)x(\zeta - \sigma(\zeta)) d\zeta \\ &= \int_t^{t+\omega} g(t, \zeta)a(\zeta)x(\zeta - \sigma(\zeta)) d\zeta = u(t). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the existence of ω -periodic solution of (1.8) is equivalent to that of the equation

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = -b(t)x(t) + F(t, x(t - \tau_1(t)), \dots, x(t - \tau_n(t)), (\Phi x)(t - \delta(t))). \quad (2.4)$$

For $(t, s) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, define

$$G(t, s) = \frac{\exp(-\int_s^t b(v) dv)}{\exp(\int_0^\omega b(v) dv) - 1}. \quad (2.5)$$

It is clear that $G(t, s) > 0$ and $G(t, s) = G(t + \omega, s + \omega)$ for all $(t, s) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

We introduce two sets with ω and σ as given above

$$\begin{aligned} C_\omega &= \{x \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n) : x(t + \omega) = x(t), t \in \mathbb{R}\}, \\ K &= \{x \in C_\omega : x(t) \geq \sigma \|x\|, t \in [0, \omega]\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

One may readily verify that K is a cone.

Now we define an operator $\Psi : K \rightarrow K$ as

$$(\Psi x)(t) = \int_t^{t+\omega} G(t, s) F(s, x(s - \tau_1(s)), \dots, x(s - \tau_n(s)), (\Phi x)(s - \delta(s))) \, ds$$

for $x \in K, t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $G(t, s)$ is defined following (2.5).

Claim 1. $\Psi : K \rightarrow K$ is well-defined.

In fact, for each $x \in K$, since $F(t, v)$ is a continuous function of t , we have $(\Psi x)(t)$ is continuous in t and

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi x)(t + \omega) &= \int_{t+\omega}^{t+2\omega} G(t + \omega, s) F(s, x(s - \tau_1(s)), \dots, x(s - \tau_n(s)), (\Phi x)(s - \delta(s))) \, ds \\ &= \int_t^{t+\omega} G(t + \omega, v + \omega) F(v + \omega, x(v + \omega - \tau_1(v)), \dots, x(v + \omega - \tau_n(v)), (\Phi x)(v + \omega - \delta(v))) \, dv \\ &= \int_t^{t+\omega} G(t, v) F(v, x(v - \tau_1(v)), \dots, x(v - \tau_n(v)), (\Phi x)(v - \delta(v))) \, dv \\ &= (\Psi x)(t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(\Psi x) \in C_\omega$. Observe that

$$p := \frac{\exp(-\int_0^\omega b(v) \, dv)}{\exp(\int_0^\omega b(v) \, dv) - 1} \leq G(t, s) \leq \frac{\exp(\int_0^\omega b(v) \, dv)}{\exp(\int_0^\omega b(v) \, dv) - 1} =: q \quad (2.7)$$

for all $s \in [t, t + \omega]$. Hence, for $x \in K$, we have

$$\|\Psi x\| \leq q \int_0^\omega |F(s, x(s - \tau_1(s)), \dots, x(s - \tau_n(s)), (\Phi x)(s - \delta(s)))| \, ds \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\Psi x)(t) &\geq p \int_0^\omega |F(s, x(s - \tau_1(s)), \dots, x(s - \tau_n(s)), (\Phi x)(s - \delta(s)))| \, ds \\ &\geq \frac{p}{q} \|\Psi x\| \geq \sigma \|\Psi x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(\Psi x) \in K$.

Claim 2. Ψ is completely continuous.

First we show that Ψ is continuous. According to our assumptions $F(t, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n, z_{n+1}) \in C(R^{n+2}, [0, \infty))$, $\tau_i(t) (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$, $\delta(t)$, $\sigma(t) \in C(R, R)$, $\eta(t)$, $a(t)$, $b(t) \in C(R, (0, \infty))$. We know that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for $\varphi, \psi \in C_\omega$,

$$\|\varphi - \psi\| < \delta, \quad 0 \leq s \leq \omega$$

imply

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq s \leq \omega} |F(s, \varphi(s - \tau_1(s)), \dots, \varphi(s - \tau_n(s)), u(s - \delta(s))) \\ & \quad - F(s, \psi(s - \tau_1(s)), \dots, \psi(s - \tau_n(s)), u(s - \delta(s)))| \\ & \quad < \frac{\varepsilon}{q\omega}, \end{aligned}$$

where q is defined as (2.7). If $x, y \in K$ with $\|x - y\| < \delta$, also in view of (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\Psi x)(t) - (\Psi y)(t)| \\ & \leq \int_t^{t+\omega} G(t, s) |F(s, x(s - \tau_1(s)), \dots, x(s - \tau_n(s)), (\Phi x)(s - \delta(s))) \\ & \quad - F(s, x(s - \tau_1(s)), \dots, x(s - \tau_n(s)), (\Phi x)(s - \delta(s)))| \, ds \\ & \leq q \int_0^\omega |F(s, x(s - \tau_1(s)), \dots, x(s - \tau_n(s)), (\Phi x)(s - \delta(s))) \\ & \quad - F(s, x(s - \tau_1(s)), \dots, x(s - \tau_n(s)), (\Phi x)(s - \delta(s)))| \, ds \\ & < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This yields $\|(\Psi x) - (\Psi y)\| < \varepsilon$. Hence Ψ is continuous.

Secondly, we show that F is maps bounded sets into bounded sets. For convenience, we denote $BC(R, R^{n+1})$ as the sets of bounded continuous functions $\Phi: R \rightarrow R^{n+1}$. And define a vector $Ux(t) = (x(t - \tau_1(t)), \dots, x(t - \tau_n(t)), (\Phi x)(t - \delta(t)))$, correspondingly, the norm of $Ux(t)$ is defined as $\|Ux(t)\| = \max\{\max_{i=1, \dots, n} |x(t - \tau_i(t))|, \|(\Phi x)(t - \delta(t))\|\}$. In terms of our assumptions, we know that $Ux(s)$ is continuous in s , and there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$Ux, Uy \in BC, \quad \|Ux\| \leq \lambda, \quad \|Uy\| \leq \lambda, \quad \|Ux - Uy\| < \delta$$

imply

$$|F(s, Ux(s)) - F(s, Uy(s))| < 1.$$

Choose a positive integer $N > \lambda/\delta$. Let $Ux \in BC$ and define $Ux^k(t) = Ux(t)k/N$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$. If $\|Ux\| \leq \lambda$, then

$$\|Ux^k - Ux^{k-1}\| = \sup_{t \in R} \left| Ux(t) \frac{k}{N} - Ux(t) \frac{k-1}{N} \right| \leq \frac{\|Ux\|}{N} \leq \frac{\lambda}{N} < \delta.$$

Thus

$$|F(s, Ux^k) - F(s, Ux^{k-1})| < 1.$$

for all $s \in [0, \omega]$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} |F(s, Ux(s))| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^N |F(s, Ux^k) - F(s, Ux^{k-1})| + |F(s, 0)| \\ &< N + \sup_{s \in [0, \omega]} |F(s, 0)| =: M_\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Also in view of (2.8), we know for $s \in [0, \omega]$,

$$\|\Psi x\| \leq q \int_0^\omega |F(s, Ux(s))| \, ds \leq q\omega M_\lambda.$$

Finally, for $t \in R$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}(\Psi x)(t) &= G(t, t + \omega)F(t + \omega, Ux(t + \omega)) - G(t, t)F(t, Ux(t)) + b(t)(\Psi x)(t) \\ &= b(t)(\Psi x)(t) + (G(t, t + \omega) - G(t, t))F(t, Ux(t)) \\ &= b(t)(\Psi x)(t) + F(t, Ux(t)). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d}{dt}(\Psi x)(t) \right| &\leq \|b(t)\| q \int_0^\omega |F(t, Ux(t))| \, dt + |F(t, Ux(t))| \\ &\leq (q\omega \|b(t)\| + 1)M_\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\|b(t)\| = \max_{t \in [0, \omega]} |b(t)|.$$

This shows that $\{(\Psi x) : x \in K, \|x\| \leq \lambda\}$ is a family of uniformly bounded and equicontinuous functions on $[0, \omega]$. By Ascoli–Arzela theorem, the function Ψ is completely continuous. It is obvious that if $x(t)$ is a fixed point of Ψ , then $x(t)$ is an ω -periodic solution of Eq. (1.8).

Now we are in a position to show that under the assumption (2.1) or (2.2), system (1.8) has at least one positive ω -periodic solution.

We only show that when (2.1) hold, the conclusion is true. The case when (2.2) hold could be proved by the same way. From the former expression of (2.1), we know that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $F(t, v)/|v| < \varepsilon$ if $|v| < \delta$. Chose $\varepsilon = 1/q\omega$ and define $R_1 = \delta/2$, then we have $|v| = R_1$ implies $F(t, v) < R_1/q\omega$, which yields

$$|(\Psi v)(t)| \leq q \int_t^{t+\omega} |F(s, v)| \, ds \leq q\omega \frac{1}{q\omega} R_1 = R_1.$$

Denote $\Omega_1 = \{\psi \in C_\omega : \|\psi\| < R_1\}$. Then the above inequality implies that $\|(\Psi v)\| \leq |v|$ for $v \in K \cap \partial\Omega_1$. On the other hand, the latter expression of (2.1) implies that for any $M > 0$, there exists a $\Delta > 0$ such that $|F(t, v)|/|v| > M$ if $|v| > \Delta$. Chose $M = 1/p\omega$ and define $R_2 = 2\Delta$, then we have $|v| = R_2$ implies $|F(t, v)| > R_2/p\omega$, which yields

$$|(\Psi v)(t)| \geq p \int_t^{t+\omega} |F(s, v)| \, ds \geq p\omega \frac{1}{p\omega} R_2 = R_2.$$

Denote $\Omega_2 = \{\psi \in C_\omega : \|\psi\| < R_2\}$. Then the above inequality implies that $\|(\Psi v)\| \leq |v|$ for $v \in K \cap \partial\Omega_2$. By Lemma 2.1, Ψ has a fixed point in $K \cap (\bar{\Omega}_2 \setminus \Omega_1)$. Hence, system (1.8) has a positive ω -periodic solution. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that (2.1) or (2.2) hold. Then system (1.9) has at least one positive ω -periodic solution.*

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.1, we omit it here.

Now we reach a position to derive the conditions which guarantee system (1.8) has a unique positive ω -periodic solution that attracts all positive solutions of (1.8). For convenience, for the rest of this section, we suppose that $\tau_1(t) = \tau_2(t) = \cdots = \tau_n(t) = \sigma(t) = \tau$ and $\delta(t) = 0$, here τ is a positive constant.

First we show that the following proposition hold.

Proposition. *If the periodic solution $x^*(t)$ of (2.4) attracts all the positive solutions of it, then the periodic solution $(x^*(t), u^*(t))$ of system (1.8) attracts all the positive solutions of system (1.8).*

Proof. Let $(x(t), u(t))$ be an arbitrary positive solution of (1.8), then $x(t)$ is a positive solution of (2.4). If $x^*(t)$ attracts all the positive solutions of (2.4), that is,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} (x(t) - x^*(t)) = 0. \quad (2.10)$$

We only need to prove that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} (u(t) - u^*(t)) = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

In view of the second equation of (1.8), we can obtain

$$u(t) = u(0) \exp \left\{ - \int_0^t \eta(s) \, ds \right\} + \exp \left\{ - \int_0^t \eta(s) \, ds \right\} \int_0^t \exp \left\{ \int_0^s \eta(s) \, ds \right\} a(t) x(t - \sigma(t)) \, dt$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u^*(t) &= u^*(0) \exp \left\{ - \int_0^t \eta(s) \, ds \right\} \\ &\quad + \exp \left\{ - \int_0^t \eta(s) \, ds \right\} \int_0^t \exp \left\{ \int_0^s \eta(s) \, ds \right\} a(t) x^*(t - \sigma(t)) \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

From the above two equalities, one can see that

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) - u^*(t) &= \exp \left\{ - \int_0^t \eta(s) \, ds \right\} [u(0) - u^*(0)] + \exp \left\{ - \int_0^t \eta(s) \, ds \right\} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^t \exp \left\{ \int_0^s \eta(s) \, ds \right\} a(t) [x(t - \sigma(t)) - x^*(t - \sigma(t))] \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

In terms of (2.10), it is obvious that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} (x(t - \sigma(t)) - x^*(t - \sigma(t))) = 0.$$

Also notice that $\eta(t) \in C(R, (0, \infty))$ and $\eta(t)$ is ω -periodic, hence

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \exp \left\{ - \int_0^t \eta(s) ds \right\} = 0.$$

Therefore (2.11) hold. The proof is complete. \square

Let $(x^*(t), u^*(t))$ be a positive ω -periodic solution of (1.8). Set

$$z(t) = x(t) - x^*(t) \quad (2.12)$$

and denote

$$F(t, x(t - \tau), \dots, x(t - \tau), (\Phi x)(t)) = F(t, x(t - \tau), (\Phi x)(t)),$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} z'(t) &= x'(t) - x^{*'}(t) \\ &= -b(t)z(t) + F(t, x^*(t - \tau) + z(t - \tau), (\Phi x)(t)) - F(t, x^*(t - \tau), (\Phi x^*)(t)) \\ &= -b(t)z(t) + \frac{\partial F(t, \xi(t), \zeta(t))}{\partial u_1} z(t - \tau) + \frac{\partial F(t, \xi(t), \zeta(t))}{\partial u_2} \frac{\partial u_2(\varsigma(t))}{\partial x(t - \tau)} z(t - \tau), \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

where $\xi(t)$, $\varsigma(t)$ lies between $x^*(t - \tau)$ and $x(t - \tau)$; $\zeta(t)$ lies between $(\Phi x)^*(t)$ and $(\Phi x)(t)$. And $\partial u_2(\varsigma(t))/\partial x(t - \tau)$ can be computed as follows.

Notice that $u(t) = (\Phi x)(t)$ satisfying

$$\frac{du}{dt} = -\eta(t)u(t) + a(t)x(t - \tau),$$

which implies

$$\frac{d \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x(t - \tau)} \right)}{dt} = -\eta(t) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x(t - \tau)} + a(t). \quad (2.14)$$

Let

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x(t - \tau)} = y,$$

then (2.14) becomes

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = -\eta(t)y + a(t).$$

We may solve y from the above ODE that

$$y(t) = \exp \left\{ - \int_0^t \eta(t) dt \right\} \left(y(0) + \int_0^t a(t) \exp \left\{ \int_0^t \eta(t) dt \right\} dt \right).$$

This means

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x(t - \tau)} = \exp \left\{ - \int_0^t \eta(t) dt \right\} \left(y(0) + \int_0^t a(t) \exp \left\{ \int_0^t \eta(t) dt \right\} dt \right).$$

Denote

$$r(t) = - \left[\frac{\partial F(t, \xi(t), \zeta(t))}{\partial u_1} + \frac{\partial F(t, \xi(t), \zeta(t))}{\partial u_2} \cdot \frac{\partial u_2(\zeta(t))}{\partial x(t - \tau)} \right],$$

make change of variables

$$z(t) = \exp \left\{ - \int_0^t b(s) \, ds \right\} w(t), \quad (2.15)$$

then (2.13) becomes

$$w'(t) + r(t) \exp \left\{ \int_{t-\tau}^t b(s) \, ds \right\} w(t - \tau) = 0. \quad (2.16)$$

Then by Theorem 2.1.5 in [16], we conclude the following result.

Theorem 2.3. Assume that (2.1) or (2.2) hold. Furthermore suppose that $F \in C^1(R^3, [0, \infty))$,

$$\begin{aligned} r(t) &> 0, \quad \text{for sufficiently large } t, \\ \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{t-\tau}^t r(t) \exp \left\{ \int_{t-\tau}^t b(s) \, ds \right\} dt &< \frac{\pi}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

and there exists a $T > 0$ such that

$$\int_T^{+\infty} r(t) \exp \left\{ \int_{t-\tau}^t b(s) \, ds \right\} dt = +\infty, \quad (2.18)$$

where F and $r(t)$ are defined as above. Then every positive solution $(x(t), u(t))$ of system (1.8) tends to $(x^*(t), u^*(t))$.

Similarly, for system (1.9), we have

Theorem 2.4. Assume that (2.1) or (2.2) hold. Furthermore suppose that $F \in C^1(R^{n+2}, [0, \infty))$,

$$\begin{aligned} r(t) &< 0, \quad \text{for sufficiently large } t, \\ \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{t-\tau}^t -r(t) \exp \left\{ - \int_{t-\tau}^t b(s) \, ds \right\} dt &< \frac{\pi}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

and there exists a $T > 0$ such that

$$\int_T^{+\infty} -r(t) \exp \left\{ - \int_{t-\tau}^t b(s) \, ds \right\} dt = +\infty,$$

where F and $r(t)$ are defined as above. Then every positive solution $(x(t), u(t))$ of system (1.9) tends to $(x^*(t), u^*(t))$.

Theorem 2.5. Assume that (2.17), (2.18) and (2.1) or (2.2) hold. Let $x(t)$ be a positive solution of system (2.4) which does not oscillate about $x^*(t)$, then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} (x(t) - x^*(t)) = 0.$$

Proof. Since system (1.8) is equivalent to system (2.16), assume that $x(t) > x^*(t)$ for sufficiently large t (the proof when $x(t) < x^*(t)$ is similar and hence to be omitted). Notice that the transformations (2.12) and (2.15), we have $w(t) > 0$, for t sufficiently large. Thus

$$w'(t) = -r(t) \exp \left\{ \int_{t-\tau}^t b(s) ds \right\} w(t - \tau) < 0. \quad (2.20)$$

This implies that $w(t)$ is decreasing, and therefore

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} w(t) = \alpha \in [0, +\infty).$$

Now we only need to show that $\alpha = 0$. If $\alpha > 0$, then there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and $T > 0$ such that for $t \geq T$, $0 < \alpha - \varepsilon < w(t) < \alpha + \varepsilon$. Then from (2.20), we have

$$w'(t) = -r(t) \exp \left\{ \int_{t-\tau}^t b(s) ds \right\} w(t - \tau) > -r(t) \exp \left\{ \int_{t-\tau}^t b(s) ds \right\} (\alpha + \varepsilon),$$

integrate both sides of the above inequality from T to $+\infty$, we obtain

$$\alpha - w(T) > \int_T^{+\infty} -r(t) \exp \left\{ \int_{t-\tau}^t b(s) ds \right\} (\alpha + \varepsilon) dt.$$

This contradicts the assumption (2.18). Hence $\alpha = 0$ and the proof is complete. \square

3. Applications

In this section, we denote

$$f^U = \max_{t \in [0, \omega]} f(t), \quad f^L = \min_{t \in [0, \omega]} f(t),$$

where $f(t)$ is a ω -periodic continuous function. Now we apply the main result obtained in the previous section to some well known models in population dynamics.

Example 3.1. For the periodic delay Hematopoiesis model [23,29,31],

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx(t)}{dt} &= -b(t)x(t) + \frac{\beta(t)}{1 + [x(t - \tau(t))]^m} + c(t)u(t - \delta(t)), \\ \frac{du}{dt} &= -\eta(t)u(t) + a(t)x(t - \sigma(t)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where $m > 0$ is a constant, $b(t)$, $\beta(t)$, $\eta(t)$, $a(t)$, $c(t)$, $\tau(t)$, $\delta(t)$, $\sigma(t) \in C(R, (0, +\infty))$ and they are all ω -periodic. We can obtain the existence of positive periodic solution and the sufficient conditions for the global attractivity being incorporated in the following two theorems.

Theorem 3.1. System (3.1) has at least one positive ω -periodic solution.

Proof. For any positive solution of (3.1), Eq. (3.1) is equivalent to the following equation

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = -b(t)x(t) + \frac{\beta(t)}{1 + [x(t - \tau(t))]^m} + c(t) \int_{t-\delta(t)}^{t-\delta(t)+\omega} g(t - \delta(t), s)a(s)x(s - \sigma(s)) ds.$$

Denote

$$F(x(t - \tau(t)), x(t - \delta(t))) = \frac{\beta(t)}{1 + [x(t - \tau(t))]^m} + c(t) \int_{t-\delta(t)}^{t-\delta(t)+\omega} g(t - \delta(t), s)a(s)x(s - \sigma(s)) ds.$$

Clearly, $F(x(t - \tau(t)), x(t - \delta(t))) \in C(R^2, [0, +\infty))$, and denote

$$x_M = \max\{x(t - \tau(t)), x(t - \delta(t))\}.$$

Then we have

$$\lim_{x_M \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(x(t - \tau(t)), x(t - \delta(t)))}{x_M} = +\infty, \quad \lim_{x_M \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(x(t - \tau(t)), x(t - \delta(t)))}{x_M} = 0.$$

By Theorem 2.1, we can conclude that system (3.1) has at least one positive ω -periodic solution.

In what follows, we will give sufficient conditions for the globally asymptotically stability of system (3.1). First, we introduce a lemma which is useful to establish the global stability result. \square

Lemma 3.1. Assume that $f(t), g(t)$ are continuous nonnegative functions defined on the interval $[\alpha, \beta]$ satisfying

$$f(t) \leq K + \int_{\alpha}^t f(s - \tau)g(s) ds, \quad \text{for } \alpha + \tau \leq t \leq \beta,$$

where $K \geq 0, 0 \leq \tau \leq \beta - \alpha$ are constants. Then

$$f(t) \leq K \exp \left\{ \int_{\alpha}^t g(s) ds \right\}, \quad \text{for } \alpha + \tau \leq t \leq \beta.$$

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that

$$\tau(t) = \sigma(t) = n\omega, \quad \delta(t) = 0, \tag{3.2}$$

where n is a positive integer, furthermore assume

$$\begin{aligned} mB_1\beta(t) &> c(t) \exp \left\{ - \int_0^t \eta(t) dt \right\} \int_0^t a(t) \exp \left\{ \int_0^t \eta(t) dt \right\} dt \\ &\quad \times \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t-\tau}^t \left(mB_2\beta(t) - c(t) \exp \left\{ - \int_0^t \eta(t) dt \right\} \int_0^t a(t) \exp \left\{ \int_0^t \eta(t) dt \right\} dt \right) \\ &\quad \times \exp \left\{ \int_{t-\tau}^t b(s) ds \right\} dt \\ &< \frac{\pi}{2} \end{aligned}$$

and there exists a $T > 0$ such that

$$\int_T^{+\infty} \left(m B_1 \beta(t) - c(t) \exp \left\{ - \int_0^t \eta(t) dt \right\} \int_0^t a(t) \exp \left\{ \int_0^t \eta(t) dt \right\} dt \right) \\ \times \exp \left\{ \int_{t-\tau}^t b(s) ds \right\} dt = +\infty,$$

where B_1, B_2 are defined in the following. Then every positive solution $(x(t), u(t))$ of system (3.1) tends to $(x^*(t), u^*(t))$.

Proof. From (2.4), we know

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = -b(t)x(t) + \frac{\beta(t)}{1 + [x(t - n\omega)]^m} + c(t) \int_t^{t+\omega} g(t, s)a(s)x(s - n\omega) ds \\ \geq -b(t)x(t) + \frac{\beta(t)}{1 + [x(t - n\omega)]^m}.$$

Make auxiliary equation

$$\frac{dp(t)}{dt} = -b(t)p(t) + \frac{\beta(t)}{1 + [p(t - n\omega)]^m}.$$

By Theorem 2.7 in [29], we know that there exists a T such that for all $t \geq T$,

$$p(t) > p_1 \exp(-b^U m \omega) := A_1,$$

where p_1 is the unique positive zero of the function

$$f_1(p) = \frac{\beta^L}{1 + p^m} - b^U p.$$

Then we have

$$x(t) > A_1.$$

On the other hand, consider the equation

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = -b(t)x(t) + \frac{\beta(t)}{1 + [x(t - n\omega)]^m} + c(t) \int_t^{t+\omega} g(t, s)a(s)x(s - n\omega) ds. \quad (3.3)$$

Now we prove that $x(t)$ is bounded from above in the interval $[0, +\infty)$. It is easy to know that if $x'(t) < 0$ for sufficiently large t , this proposition hold true [1]. If $x'(t) > 0$ for sufficiently large t , we have

$$b(t)x(t) \leq \beta(t) + c(t) \int_t^{t+\omega} g(t, s)a(s)x(s - n\omega) ds,$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t) &\leq \frac{\beta(t)}{b(t)} + \frac{c(t)}{b(t)} \int_t^{t+\omega} g(t, s) a(s) x(s - n\omega) \, ds \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{\beta(t)}{b(t)} \right)^U + \left(\frac{c(t)}{b(t)} \right)^U g(0, \omega) \int_t^{t+\omega} a(s) x(s - n\omega) \, ds \\
 &= \left(\frac{\beta(t)}{b(t)} \right)^U + \left(\frac{c(t)}{b(t)} \right)^U g(0, \omega) \int_{t-\omega}^t a(s) x(s - (n-1)\omega) \, ds \\
 &\leq \left(\frac{\beta(t)}{b(t)} \right)^U + \left(\frac{c(t)}{b(t)} \right)^U g(0, \omega) \int_0^t a(s) x(s - (n-1)\omega) \, ds.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

In terms of Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$x(t) \leq \left(\frac{\beta(t)}{b(t)} \right)^U \exp \left\{ \left(\frac{c(t)}{b(t)} \right)^U g(0, \omega) \int_0^t a(s) \, ds \right\}, \quad \text{for } t \geq (n-1)\omega,$$

using the second inequality of (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t) &\leq \left(\frac{\beta(t)}{b(t)} \right)^U + \left(\frac{c(t)}{b(t)} \right)^U g(0, \omega) \\
 &\quad \times \int_0^\omega \left[a(s) \left(\frac{\beta(t)}{b(t)} \right)^U \exp \left\{ \left(\frac{c(t)}{b(t)} \right)^U g(0, \omega) \int_0^s a(s) \, ds \right\} \right] \, ds \\
 &:= A_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Either if $x'(t)$ is oscillate, denote its zeros as sequence $\{t_n\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$, without loss of generality, suppose that $x'(t) \leq 0$ on $[t_{k-1}, t_k]$, $x'(t) \geq 0$ on $[t_k, t_{k+1}]$ and $x'(t) \leq 0$ on $[t_{k+1}, t_{k+2}]$, where $k > 1$ is an integer. Then

$$x(t) \leq x(t_{k-1}), \quad t \in [t_{k-1}, t_k];$$

$$x(t) \leq x(t_{k+1}), \quad t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}];$$

$$x(t_{k+1}) \geq x(t), \quad t \in [t_{k+1}, t_{k+2}].$$

By the same discussion as above, we have

$$x(t_{k+1}) \leq A_2,$$

then by the method of steps, we know for sufficiently large t ,

$$x(t) \leq A_2.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} r(t) &= - \left[\frac{\partial F(t, \xi(t), \zeta(t))}{\partial u_1} + \frac{\partial F(t, \xi(t), \zeta(t))}{\partial u_2} \frac{\partial u_2(\zeta(t))}{\partial x(t - n\omega)} \right] \\ &= \frac{m\beta(t)\xi^{m-1}(t)}{(1 + \xi^m(t))^2} - c(t) \exp \left\{ - \int_0^t \eta(t) dt \right\} \left(y(0) + \int_0^t a(t) \exp \left\{ \int_0^t \eta(t) dt \right\} dt \right) \\ &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

denote

$$\min_{\xi(t) \in [A_1, A_2]} \frac{\xi^{m-1}(t)}{(1 + \xi^m(t))^2} = B_1, \quad \max_{\xi(t) \in [A_1, A_2]} \frac{\xi^{m-1}(t)}{(1 + \xi^m(t))^2} = B_2.$$

Then by Theorem 2.5, we complete the proof. \square

We remark that when our main results are applied to the models (1.2)–(1.4), the conditions for the existence of positive periodic solutions are the same as the previous results [12,21]. However, when our results on the global attractivity are applied to these models, the conditions are more easily verified. Moreover, for such types models such as (1.5)–(1.7), the previous articles cannot solve its existence of positive periodic solutions as well as the global attractivity of the positive solutions. In our present paper, we solve such kind of problems, though our conditions for the global attractivity looks rather complicated.

References

- [1] I. Barbalat, Systems d'equations differentielle d'oscillations nonlineaires, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 4 (1959) 267–270.
- [2] K. Deimling, Nonlinear Functional Analysis, Springer, New York, 1985.
- [3] R.E. Gaines, J.L. Mawhin, Coincidence Degree and Nonlinear Differential Equations, Springer, Berlin, 1977.
- [4] K. Gopalsamy, Stability and Oscillations in Delay Differential Equations of Population Dynamics, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1992.
- [5] K. Gopalsamy, M.R.S. Kulenović, G. Ladas, Environmental periodicity and time delays in a “food-limited” population model, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 147 (1990) 545–555.
- [6] K. Gopalsamy, B.S. Lalli, Oscillatory and asymptotic behavior of a multiplicative delay logistic equation, Dynamic Stability Systems 7 (1992) 35–42.
- [7] K. Gopalsamy, P.X. Weng, Feedback regulation of logistic growth, Internat. J. Math. Sci. 1 (1993) 177–192.
- [8] S.R. Grace, I. Györi, B.S. Lalli, Necessary and sufficient conditions for the oscillations of a multiplicative delay logistic equation, Quart. Appl. Math. 53 (1995) 69–79.
- [9] J.R. Greaf, C. Qian, P.W. Spikes, Oscillation and global attractivity in a periodic delay equation, Canad. Math. Bull. 38 (1996) 275–283.
- [10] J.R. Greaf, C. Qian, B. Zhang, Asymptotic behavior of solutions of differential equations with variable delays, Proc. London Math. Soc. 81 (2000) 72–92.
- [11] I. Györi, G. Ladas, Oscillation Theorem of Delay Differential Equations with Applications, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1991.
- [12] H.F. Huo, W.T. Li, Positive periodic solutions of delay differential systems with feedback control, Appl. Math. Comput. 148 (2004) 35–46.
- [13] D.Q. Jiang, J. Wei, B. Zhang, Positive periodic solutions of functional differential equations and population models, Electron. J. Differential Equations 71 (2002) 1–13.
- [14] M.A. Krasnoselskii, Positive Solutions of Operator Equations, Gorningen, Noordhoff, 1964.
- [15] Y. Kuang, Delay Differential Equations with Application in Population Dynamics, Academic Press, New York, 1993.

- [16] G.S. Ladde, V. Lakshmikantham, B.Z. Zhang, *Oscillation Theory of Differential Equations with Deviating Arguments*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1987.
- [17] B.S. Lalli, J.S. Yu, M.P. Chen, Feedback regulation of a logistic growth, *Dynam. Systems Appl.* 5 (1996) 117–124.
- [18] B.S. Lalli, B.G. Zhang, On a periodic delay population model, *Quart. Appl. Math.* 52 (1994) 35–42.
- [19] J. LaSalle, S. Lefschetz, *Stability by Lyapunov's Direct Method*, Academic Press, New York, 1961.
- [20] S. Lefschetz, *Stability of Nonlinear Control Systems*, Academic Press, New York, 1965.
- [21] Y.K. Li, Existence and global attractivity of a positive periodic solution of a class of delay differential equation, *Sci. China Ser. A* 41 (1998) 273–284.
- [22] L. Liao, Feedback regulation of a logistic growth with variable coefficients, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 259 (2001) 489–500.
- [23] M.C. Mackey, L. Glass, Oscillation and chaos in physiological control system, *Science* 197 (1977) 287–289.
- [24] A.J. Nicholson, The balance of animal population, *J. Animal Ecol.* 2 (1933) 132–178.
- [25] R.M. Nisbet, W.S.C. Gurney, Population dynamics in a periodically varying environment, *J. Theoret. Biol.* 56 (1976) 459–475.
- [26] E.R. Pianka, *Evolutionary Ecology*, Harper and Row, New York, 1974.
- [27] C. Qian, Global attractivity in nonlinear delay differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 197 (1996) 529–547.
- [28] G. Rosen, Time delays produced by essential nonlinearity in population growth models, *Bull. Math. Biol.* 28 (1987) 253–256.
- [29] S.H. Saker, Oscillation and global attractivity in a periodic delay hematopoiesis model, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 136 (2003) 241–250.
- [30] S.H. Saker, S. Agarwal, Oscillation and global attractivity in a periodic Nicholson's blowflies model, *Math. Comput. Modelling* 35 (2002) 719–731.
- [31] S.H. Saker, S. Agarwal, Oscillation and global attractivity in a nonlinear delay periodic model of population dynamics, *Appl. Anal.* 81 (2002) 787–799.
- [32] S.H. Saker, S. Agarwal, Oscillation and global attractivity in a nonlinear delay periodic model of respiratory dynamics, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 44 (2002) 5–6, 623–632.
- [33] S.H. Saker, S. Agarwal, Oscillation and global attractivity of a periodic survival red blood cells model, *Dynamics of Continuous, Discrete and Impulsive Systems Series B: Applications and Algorithms*, in press.
- [34] D. Schley, S.A. Gourley, Linear stability criteria for population models with periodic perturbed delays, *J. Math. Biol.* 40 (2000) 500–524.
- [35] X. Tang, X. Zou, A $3/2$ stability result for a regulated logistic growth model, *Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems Ser. B* 2 (2002) 265–278.
- [36] J. Yan, Q. Feng, Global attractivity and oscillation in a nonlinear delay equation, *Nonlinear Analysis-TMA* 43 (2001) 101–108.
- [37] F. Yang, D.Q. Jiang, Existence and global attractivity of positive periodic solution of a logistic growth system with feedback control and deviating arguments, *Ann. Differential Equations* 17 (2001) 377–384.
- [38] B.G. Zhang, K. Gopalsamy, Global attractivity and oscillations in a periodic delay-logistic equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 150 (1990) 274–283.